

Daily Eagle

M. M. MURDOCK, Editor.
R. P. MURDOCK, Business Manager.
Publishers and Proprietors.
Members of the American Newspaper Publishers Association and Associated Press.

DAILY BY CARRIER, 10c PER WEEK.

(In advance, postage prepaid.)
Daily, one copy, one year, \$4.00
Daily, one copy, six months, \$2.00
Daily, one copy, three months, \$1.00
Daily, one copy, one month, .50
Three times a week, any day desired, .50 per year
Two times a week, any day desired, 1.00 per year
Sunday, per year, 2.00

WEEKLY EAGLE
One copy, one year, \$5.00
Eastern Business Office, 42, 44, 46, 48, 50, 52, "The Tribune" Building, New York City; Western Business Office, 10-12, The Tribune Building, Chicago; The S. C. Beckwith Special Agency, sole agents.

AMUSEMENTS

CRAWFORD THEATER
E. L. MARTLING, Manager

ALL WEEK LONG
Decker & Verence Present

The Lillian Mortimer Co.

TONIGHT:
The Mysterious Gypsy Girl

—CAPABLE PLAYERS—
Prices, 10c, 25c, 50c, 75c.

MATINEE SATURDAY

Tuesday, March 3rd
Elmer Walters' Latest Sensation,

"A Millionaire Tramp"

The Brightest Novelty of the Year.
This production will please all lovers of the sensational drama. Lawrence Russell, author.

Prices, 10c, 25c, 50c, 75c. Seats on sale at How's jewelry store.

Wednesday, March 4th
Spectacular Production of Bartley Campbell's Immortal Drama,

THE WHITE SLAVE

A Story of the Sunny South.
Presented with New Sensation, Modern Mechanism and Novel Electrical Effects.
A Series of Magnificent Stage Pictures.

Prices, 10c, 25c, 50c, 75c. Seats on sale at How's jewelry store.

Friday, February 27th
Mrs. Brune

In the Fascinating Four-Act Romance,

"UNORNA"

Sumptuously Staged. Magnificently Costumed. Marvellous Electrical Effects.
Prices, 10c, 25c, 50c, 75c. Seats on sale at box office.

Saturday, February 28th
Jacob Riis

Author and Lecturer, in

"The Battle With The Slum"

Admission, \$1.00. Tickets on sale at the box office.

We have just received three cars of good Oklahoma coal for sale. Write for a few days, but "you will have to hurry." J. H. Turner, phone 496.

46-12

Mrs. Winslow's Soothing Syrup.

Has been used for over FIFTY YEARS by MILLIONS OF MOTHERS for their CHILDREN WHILE TEETHING, with PERFECT SUCCESS. IT SOOTHES THE CHILD, SOFTENS THE GUMS, ALLAYS ALL PAIN, CURES WIND COLIC and is the best remedy for DIARRHOEA. Sold by druggists in every part of the world. Be sure and ask for "Mrs. Winslow's Soothing Syrup" and take no other kind. Twenty-five cents a bottle.

We have 100 tons good alfalfa for sale. Write or in bulk. R. Cox, 104 East Douglas, Phone 102.

61-12

A Great Success.

After years of research and experiment in chemistry, ten different ingredients have been combined in the Mechanic's Friend Soap, making this soap a cleanser and healer of unequalled excellence and virtue. For sale by H. P. Wolf, 722 East Douglas, or by Mechanic's Friend Co., manufacturers, Wichita, Kan.

88-Tu-Fri-Sat-Sun

MAY LOCATE HERE.

Fred Dawson of Goodland Looking for Business Location.

Fred Dawson, one of the prominent business men of Goodland, Kan., is in the city visiting friends and riding up Wichita as a business point. Mr. Dawson was in the general merchandise business in Goodland until recently when his store was burned. He is a thorough business man with plenty of capital and expects to locate in a larger city. If he can find a suitable location in Wichita he will engage in business here.

CASTORIA.

The Kind You Have Always Bought

Signature

STRIKER WAS ARRESTED

And Has Been Round Over for Hearing in District Court.

Colorado Springs, Colo., Feb. 25.—Chas. Lewis, the rioting striker, who was arrested for rioting near the Standard plant in Colorado City, a week ago, was today bound over for trial in the district court. This was the only development of the day in the strike situation.

1903

1903

1903

1903

1903

1903

1903

1903

1903

1903

1903

1903

1903

1903

1903

1903

1903

BLOOD HUMOURS

Skin Humours, Scalp Humours, Hair Humours,

Whether Simple Scrofulous or Hereditary

Speedily Cured by Cuticura Soap, Ointment and Pills,

When All Other Remedies and Best Physicians Fail.

COMPLETE TREATMENT, \$1.00.

In the treatment of torturing, disgusting, itching, scaly, crusted, pimply, blotchy and scrofulous humours of the skin, scalp and blood, with loss of hair, Cuticura Soap, Ointment and Pills have been wonderfully successful. Even the most obstinate of constitutional humours, such as bad blood, scrofula, inherited and contagious humours, with loss of hair, glandular swellings, ulcerous patches in the throat and mouth, sore eyes, copper-colored blotches, as well as boils, carbuncles, scurvy, sties, ulcers and sores arising from an impure or impoverished condition of the blood, yield to the Cuticura Treatment, when all other remedies and methods fail.

And greater still, if possible, is the wonderful record of cures of torturing, disgusting humours among infants and children. The suffering which Cuticura Soap and Ointment have alleviated among the young and the comfort they have afforded worn-out and worried parents, have led to their adoption in countless homes as priceless curatives for the skin and blood. Infantile and birth humours, milk crust, scall head, eczema, rashes, skin and scalp itching, scaly, pimply skin and scalp humours, with loss of hair, of infancy and childhood, are speedily, permanently and economically cured when all other remedies suitable for children, and even the best physicians, fail.

CITY IN BRIEF.

Harry Dordick is at Newkirk on legal business.

Mr. C. A. Seaman of Sedgewick is here on business.

Texas, is visiting Misses Fayette and Edith Dennis.

Mr. Ed. Phillips of Mulvane was in the city yesterday.

Mrs. T. H. Barley leaves for Chicago, her future home, Saturday.

Mr. Will Temple of Bentley is here dealing with the wholesale office.

Dell Kelsor returned to Fort Scott yesterday on the Missouri Pacific.

Dave Griffith returned yesterday from a three days' visit in Kingman county.

Adam Pockner, a resident of Clearwater, was in the city yesterday on business.

J. P. Waldo, trainmaster at Neodesha, was in the city yesterday on railroad business.

Mr. S. T. Van Allen of Fort Worth, like Greenfield went to Fort Scott yesterday.

H. Whiteside, an attorney of Hutchinson, was in the city yesterday on legal business.

Miss Treasle Happe of Topeka is the guest of Mrs. J. R. Snider, at 33 Ohio avenue.

John F. Werbin of Bentley is here. Mr. Werbin is now carrying on fine farm north of Bentley.

Alice Parker, of Eldorado, after a short visit with friends in the city, went to Valley Center yesterday.

R. J. Collins has been very sick at his home at 66 South Lawrence avenue for several days, but is better at present.

Mrs. S. B. Croll and daughter, of Dallas, Texas, are here visiting her sister, Mrs. L. A. Hackard, at 733 South Topeka avenue.

Dr. H. W. West and daughter, Bessie, of Yates Center, Kan., are visiting in Wichita, stopping with W. L. McQuarry, 123 Jackson avenue.

Mr. and Mrs. E. E. Frohman are entertaining Mrs. and Mr. W. B. Craig of Arkansas City, who are attending the A. O. U. W. grand lodge.

L. O. Drinke and C. W. Hatfield, two lots on Everett avenue, West Side, for \$125. Mr. Hatfield expects to build on the lots as an investment.

E. F. Holmes, senior member of the firm of Holmes & Jones, clothing, left Wednesday for the eastern markets to purchase their new spring stock.

J. Frank Van Dusen, the city clerk of Bird City, Cheyenne county, Kansas, is here mingling with the workmen. Bird City is the highest point in the state of Kansas.

Ed W. Goode of Cheney is here on business. Mr. Goode carries on a stock farm northwest of Cheney on Smoot's creek. He reports the wheat crop in his locality as extremely promising.

Mr. R. P. Murdock, the business manager, has returned from New York. He met the leading newspaper publishers, members of the American Newspaper Publishers Association, and the universal representative of the newspaper business, present and prospective, was never better.

The funeral services of the late D. M. Jones will be held today. At short notice will be held at the home, five miles northwest of the city, at 12 o'clock and at 2 p. m. a service at the Reformed church, corner of South Topeka avenue and Lewis streets, where Mr. Jones was an officer for more than ten years.

Mr. Sam Hess of the clothing firm of Herman & Hess, who was called east on account of the serious illness of his brother, Solomon Hess of Baltimore, Md., was met with a telegram at St. Louis announcing his death. Mr. Solomon Hess has been ill for quite a while and his death has been expected for some time.

Judge C. E. Foote of Topeka is here with a large delegation from his town. Judge Foote is one of the strong men of Kansas and is especially strong as a platform speaker. He has made several speaking tours in this city, and was the chairman of the board of pardons when Governor Leawell was at the head of state affairs.

COMING LOCAL EVENTS.

Barbers' union will meet the second and fourth Tuesdays of the month instead of the first and third.

The N. T. club will meet with Mrs. C. P. Wells at 58 East Central, tomorrow afternoon instead of this afternoon.

There will be a regular convocation of the Wichita chapter, No. 25, R. A. M., at 7:30 o'clock this evening. Work in the Mark Master degree.

Regular meeting Royal Neighbors of America, No. 67, tonight at 8:30 East Douglas. All visiting members cordially invited. Members please attend, as there are cards to act upon.

The ladies of that was to have been given by the ladies of the Central Christian church this afternoon at the home of Mrs. I. W. Gill has been postponed until next Friday afternoon.

MONUMENT FOR OLD SOLDIERS

Senate Favors the Bill Introduced by Mr. Miller.

TWO NEGATIVE VOTES

Funds are to be Raised by Special Tax Levy.

Topeka, Feb. 25.—Senator Miller's bill providing for the erection of a \$200,000 soldiers' monument on the state house grounds passed the senate after much oratory today. Only two senators voted against it—Smith and Caldwell. Smith said he did not want to build any monuments to dead soldiers as long as living soldiers were in want. Caldwell voted against it on general principles.

The consideration of the bill gave several senators a chance to work off the fat of their Decoration day speeches. Morehouse first tackled the proposition and talked long enough to line up every old soldier in the district for him. Then McMillan tried to cut the price of the monument down to \$50,000. The senators made it so hot for him that he withdrew the amendment.

Cubison declared that Kansas was thirty years behind with its monument money. Nearly every other state, he said, had decorated almost every hill top. Kansas sent more soldiers to the war than it had voters, and yet there was not a monument in the state to the memory of the heroic dead. He was surprised that such a monument should oppose so worthy a measure.

Senator Miller told about being in school in Ohio when the war broke out. The pupils were all about 12 to 14 years old. The teacher and the pupils of that school survived the rigors of the war came to Kansas. Many of them are buried here. Others are on farms or in workshops while a few are in the soldiers' home. This generation does something to commemorate the deeds of the civil war veterans nothing will ever be done. The coming generation will be too far removed from the scenes of the war to take any interest in such a movement.

Senator Miller spoke about the loss of his father in the war. He remembered seeing his father but once. Last year he saw his father's grave for the first time. He thought the state could well afford to erect a monument. Senator Carpenter said that if the legislature turned his proposition down there never would be a monument built, as future generations would lose sight of the service rendered by the soldiers of the country. Senator Leidy said that a tribute of this kind was due the men who fought to save the Union, and that such a monument would have a good influence upon the youth. It would be a just and patriotic act to fund to increase the stock of patriotism.

Senator Smith said he wanted to relieve the destitute soldiers now living first and build monuments afterward.

A roll call was taken and the bill passed by a vote of 32 to 2.

It provides that the monument shall be built on the state house grounds under the direction of the executive council and that an annual tax levy of one-tenth of a mill shall be made to provide for funds. This will bring in about \$5,000 a year. It will take six years to build the monument.

PROOFS ARE HERE.

Captain Cannon's Book Will Soon Be Out.

Proofs for the book written by Captain Cannon before his death have been sent here from the eastern publishing house to be read and corrected. The proofs were sent to Captain Cannon, but since he is dead the proof-reading will fall upon Mr. Schmidt, who took charge of the captain's affairs after his death. This proof-reading will be a very difficult task as the story is a very peculiar one and in order to make proper corrections one should understand the subject thoroughly. It is doubtful if there could be found a person who can take the proof and make the proper corrections. The manuscript has been at the publishing house for several months and Mr. Schmidt has received several letters stating that it was the most difficult piece of work they had ever undertaken. Captain Cannon was a peculiar man and all his traits are evident in his book.

FOOD PROTECTION.

The Circuit Court of the United States Decides in Favor of the In-er-seal Package.

A decision far reaching in its effect and of interest to all lovers of pure food, has just been handed down by Judge Adams in the circuit court of the United States. The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

The suit, in question, was brought against The Union Biscuit Company for infringement on the In-er-seal package, controlled exclusively by the National Biscuit Company.

CONSULT THE BEST, FIRST.

DRS. JOHNSTON & WALSH
The World's Greatest Specialists.
Permanently Located in Wichita.

NERVOUS DEBILITY,
WEAKNESS OF MEN,
BLOOD DISEASES.

Consultation Free at Office or by Letter. And All Chronic, Nervous, Blood and Private Diseases of both sexes cured quickly and permanently.

NERVOUS DEBILITY. Sleeplessness, despondency, weak back, lack of endurance, floating spots before the eyes, poor memory, threatened insanity, imaginary fears, bashfulness, easily tired, lack of ambition, etc.

YOUNG, MIDDLE-AGED AND OLD MEN suffering from weakness and loss of manhood, due to indiscretions, excessive hardships, or too much mental labor, you can be perfectly and permanently cured. The victim of poison is removed from the system, weakness, loss of vitality, sediment in urine, burning sensations, enlargement of prostate, a perfect and quick cure is guaranteed. No inconvenience, no detention from business. Strictly private and confidential.

VARICOCELE cured in 7 days by a painless method. Stricture cured without operation. Hydrocele cured in 3 days.

BLOOD POISON. Our new method of treatment never fails to cure this terrible disease. The victim of poison is removed from the system, and the disease can not return. Scrofula, syphilis, rheumatism, dysentery, catarrh, skin diseases, eczema, kidney, liver, heart and bladder troubles.

LADIES suffering from nervous troubles, pain in back, weakness, uterine inflammation, uterine or ovarian disease cured quickly by their Electro-Medical treatment.

Hours, daily: 10 to 12 a. m.; 2 to 5 p. m.; evenings, 7 to 9:30 p. m.; Sundays, 11 a. m. to 1 p. m.

CHICAGO MEDICAL INSTITUTE,
13 East Douglas Ave., corner of Main Street, Bldg. Third Floor.
Rooms, 35, 37 and 39. Take elevator at Market street entrance.

street cars should run to the Union Stock Yards, not one of which reasons did the street car superintendent successfully refute.

There are certain inalienable rights that belong to all people and one of the